

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/676,405	09/29/2000	WALTER W. BUTLER	K35A0458	1929
26332 7	590 11/29/2002			
WESTERN DIGITAL CORP. 20511 LAKE FOREST DRIVE C205 - INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
			CASTRO, ANGEL A	
LAKE FORES	LAKE FOREST, CA 92630		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	2653	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/676,405	BUTLER, WALTER W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Angel A. Castro	2653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication of Period for Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, no reply within the statutory minimum ind will apply and will expire SIX (6 state, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	7 September 2002 .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration	ı.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requiremen	t.				
Application Papers	, <del>.</del> .					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Examiner.					
	sian priority condex 25 H C	0.0.0440(a) (d) == (0)				
<ul><li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	agn phonty under 35 U.S	5.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).				
	ente have boon received					
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
Copies of the certified copies of the p     application from the International     * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	riority documents have b Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(	peen received in this National Stage a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	·					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) 🗍 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r: .				

Art Unit: 2653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment A filed on 9/17/02.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Guzik (U.S. Pat. 6,229,304).

Regarding claim 1, Guzik discloses a disk drive with improved shrouding (figures 4-10, comprising:

- (a) a disk 14;
- (b) a spindle motor 13 for rotating the disk;
- (c) an actuator arm 22;

Art Unit: 2653

ţ

(d) a head coupled to a distal end of the actuator arm (shown in figure 5, but not labeled);

- (e) a rotary actuator 20 for rotating the actuator arm about a pivot to actuate the head radially over the disk;
  - (f) a base 30' (figure 10); and
- (g) a cover 86 (figures 9-10) attached to the base to form a head disk assembly chamber, the cover comprising an inner surface and an outer surface; and a shroud 84 extending axially from the inner surface into the head disk assembly chamber substantially enveloping the outer periphery of the disk, including at least part of the outer periphery coextensive with the actuator arm when the actuator arm is positioned adjacent to the outer periphery of the disk, to provide radial shrouding of the disk.

Regarding claim 2, Guzik discloses that the shroud is a separate piece adhered to the inner surface of the cover (column 6, lines 3-5).

Regarding claim 3, Guzik discloses the cover and shroud described above.

As the claims are directed to a disk drive, per se, the method limitations appearing in lines 1-2 of claim 3 has only been accorded weight to the extent that it affects the structure of the completed disk drive. Note that determination of patentability in 'product-by-process' claims is based on product itself, even though such claims are limited and defined by process [i.e., "form molded"], and thus product in such claim is unpatentable if it is the same as, or obvious form, product of prior art, even if prior product was made by a different process", *In re Thorpe, et al.*, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC 1985). Furthermore, note that a "product-by-process" claim, although reciting subject matter of claim in terms of how it is made [i.e.,

Art Unit: 2653

f

"form molded."] is still product claim; it is patentability of product claimed and not recited process steps that must be established, in spite of fact that claim may recite only process limitations", *In re Hirao and Sato*, 190 USPQ 685 (CCPA 1976).

Regarding claim 4, Guzik shows that the cover further comprises a substantially C-shaped depression positioned substantially concentric over the disk to provide axial shrouding (see figure 7, below the cover 31).

# Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yamasaki et al (U.S. Pat. 5,541,791) discloses an air guide for an aerodynamic actuator latch within a disk drive; Stefansky (U.S. Pat. 5,329,412) discloses a two and one half inch diameter disk drive.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until

Art Unit: 2653

after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory

period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to

37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of

this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Angel A. Castro whose telephone number is 703-308-8435.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William R Korzuch can be reached on 703-305-6137. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular

communications and 703-308-8435 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

Angel Castro, Ph.D.

November 25, 2002

WILLIAM KORŹÚCH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 5